arXiv:1409.6289v1 [math.KT] 22 Sep 2014

FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS AND JOINT TORSION OF PAIRS OF ALMOST COMMUTING OPERATORS

JOSEPH MIGLER

Abstract. This paper investigates the transformation of determinants of pairs of Fredholm operators with trace class commutators. We study the extent to which the functional calculus commutes, modulo operator ideals, with projections in a finitely summable Fredholm module. As an application, we recover in particular some results of R. Carey and J. Pincus on determinants and Tate tame symbols. Additionally, we obtain variational formulas for joint torsion.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
Acknowledgments 3
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. The determinant invariant 4
2.2. Joint torsion 5
2.3. Properties of joint torsion 6
3. Transformation rules for joint torsion 8
3.1. Commutators 8
3.2. Perturbations 9
3.3. Joint torsion 11
3.4. Positivity of joint torsion 12
4. Fredholm modules 15
5. Toeplitz operators and tame symbols 18
5.1. H^∞ symbols 19
5.2. L^∞ symbols 22
5.3. An integral formula 24
References 26

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19C20; Secondary 47A13, 47A53, 47A60, 47B35.

Key words and phrases. joint torsion; tame symbols; functional calculus, multivariable operator theory; determinants in K-theory.

This work was completed as part of the author's doctoral dissertation at the University of Colorado, under the direction of Professor Alexander Gorokhovsky.

1. Introduction

This paper was partly motivated by a desire to understand the works [3, 4]. In particular, R. Carey and J. Pincus introduce a type of determinant known as the joint torsion $\tau(A, B)$ associated to any two Fredholm operators A and B which commute modulo the trace ideal $\mathcal{L}^1$ on a Hilbert space. They use this invariant to obtain generalizations, for symbols with nonzero winding numbers, of Szegő's limit theorems on the asymptotics of determinants of Toeplitz operators. It turns out [18] that joint torsion is equal to the determinant invariant of L. Brown in algebraic K-theory [2].

In [14], J. Kaad generalizes the notion of joint torsion to commuting tuples of operators satisfying a natural Fredholm property. J. Kaad and R. Nest have developed a theory of perturbation vectors associated to pairs of complexes which are perturbations of one another [16]. They have also investigated local indices of n-tuples of commuting operators under the holomorphic functional calculus [15], and they obtain a global index theorem originally due to J. Eschmeier and M. Putinar [11]. In Section 3 we establish a multiplicative analogue of such transformation rules in the case of single operators (Proposition 3.10): the joint torsion $\tau(f(A), B)$ of $f(A)$ and B is

$$\prod_{\{\lambda\in\sigma(A)\,|\,f(\lambda)=0\}} \tau(A-\lambda, B)^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f)} \cdot \tau(q(A), B)$$

Here $q(A)$ is an invertible operator, so the second factor is a type of multiplicative Lefschetz number. In addition, we investigate variational formulas for joint torsion (Corollaries 2.7, 2.11, and 3.15).

We recall the notion of a symbol in arithmetic [21], which is a bimultiplicative map $c(\cdot,\cdot)$ on the multiplicative group of a field such that $c(a, 1-a) = 1$. An example of a symbol is the tame symbol on a field of meromorphic functions, defined as a weighted ratio of the functions (Definition 5.5). It turns out that this tame symbol is closely related to the Steinberg symbol in K-theory. Indeed, in Section 5 we express the joint torsion of Toeplitz operators in terms of their tame symbols (Theorem 5.13). This generalizes a result due to R. Carey and J. Pincus [3]: if $f, g \in H^\infty(S^1)$, then

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \prod_{|a|<1} c_a(f, g)$$

Recently in [17], J. Kaad and R. Nest investigate the local behavior of joint torsion transition numbers associated to commuting tuples of operators. They generalize the above Carey-Pincus formula and extend the notion of tame symbol to the setting of transversal functions on a complex analytic curve.

In [9], T. Ehrhardt generalizes the Helton-Howe-Pincus formula by showing

$$e^A e^B - e^{A+B} \in \mathcal{L}^1 \tag{1.1}$$

whenever $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, and moreover,

$$\det\left(e^A e^B e^{-A-B}\right) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}[A,B]}$$

Now let $P : L^2(S^1) \to H^2(S^1)$ be the orthogonal projection onto the Hardy space. For any $\phi \in L^\infty(S^1)$ one may form the Toeplitz operator T_ϕ, which is the compression to $H^2(S^1)$ of multiplication by ϕ. With $A = T_{(I-P)\phi}$ and $B = T_{P\phi}$, under suitable regularity assumptions, (1.1) implies that

$$T_{e^\phi} - e^{T_\phi} \in \mathcal{L}^1 \tag{1.2}$$

We investigate the following question: To what extent does (1.2) hold with the exponential replaced by more general functions? In Section 4 we consider entire functions in the more general setting of summable Fredholm modules. Then in Section 5 we specialize to Toeplitz operators. Theorem 5.14 establishes (1.2) when

(1) f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T_\phi)$, or
(2) ϕ is real-valued and f is C^∞ on $\phi(S^1)$.

Along the way, we investigate the functional calculus modulo ideals of compact operators. Under suitable assumptions on f, we have

(1) $f(A) - f(A') \in \mathcal{L}^p$ if $A - A' \in \mathcal{L}^p$ (Proposition 3.6).
(2) $[f(A), B] \in \mathcal{L}^p$ if $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^p$ (see Proposition 3.2).
(3) $T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi) \in \mathcal{L}^p$ in a $2p$-summable Fredholm module (Proposition 4.9).

Thus we obtain functional calculi on the Calkin-type algebra $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{L}^p$ of bounded operators $\mathcal{B}$ modulo the Schatten ideal $\mathcal{L}^p$ of compact operators with p-summable singular values. Result (2) is due to A. Connes [7]. We also obtain expressions for the trace and estimates on the $\mathcal{L}^p$-norms of operators as above.

Finally, we apply these results to obtain an integral formula for the joint torsion $\tau(T_f, T_g)$ of Toeplitz operators T_f and T_g as

$$\exp \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{S^1} \log f \, d(\log g) - \log g(p) \int_{S^1} d(\log f)\right)$$

This formula was obtained by R. Carey and J. Pincus [3], and previously by J. W. Helton and R. Howe [13] in an equivalent form. See also [12].

Acknowledgments. This work benefited greatly from enlightening conversations with a number of people. In particular I wish to thank Richard Carey, Guillermo Cortiñas, Raúl Curto, Jörg Eschmeier, Alexander Gorokhovsky, Nigel Higson, Jens Kaad, Jerry Kaminker, Matthias Lesch, Ryszard Nest, Joel Pincus, and Mariusz Wodzicki.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The determinant invariant.** For any unital ring R and ideal I, there are algebraic K-groups $K_i(R)$, $K_i(R/I)$, and $K_i(R, I)$ that fit into Quillen's long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to K_{i+1}(R/I) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_i(R, I) \to K_i(R) \to K_i(R/I) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

Any two commuting invertible elements $a, b \in R/I$ determine a Steinberg symbol (or Loday product, up to a sign) $\{a, b\} \in K_2(R/I)$. Now let $R = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space H, and let $I = \mathcal{L}^1 = \mathcal{L}^1(H)$ be the ideal of trace class operators on H. Then the Fredholm determinant induces a map

$$\det : K_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}^1) \to \mathbf{C}^\times$$

In fact, $K_1(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}^1) = V \oplus \mathbf{C}^\times$ for a vector space V with uncountable linear dimension, and det can be seen as the projection onto the second factor [1]. The following definition is due to L. Brown [2]:

Definition 2.1. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{L}^1$ be invertible and commuting elements. The determinant invariant $d(a, b)$ is

$$d(a, b) = \det \partial_2\{a, b\} \in \mathbf{C}^\times$$

The determinant of a multiplicative commutator remarkably depends only on the K-theory of the operators involved:

Proposition 2.2 ([2])**.** *If A and B are invertible operators with $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, then*

$$\det(ABA^{-1}B^{-1}) = d(\pi(A), \pi(B))$$

where $\pi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{L}^1$ is the quotient map.

In fact, the determinant invariant can always be calculated in terms of a multiplicative commutator. To see this, let $a, b \in \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{L}^1$ be invertible commuting elements, and pick lifts A and B in $\mathcal{B}$ of a and b. Let S_A be an operator with index opposite that of A. For example, we may take S_A to be a unilateral shift or a parametrix for A. Pick S_B similarly. Then $A \oplus S_A \oplus I$ has index zero, so we may pick a finite rank operator F_A such that $\tilde{A} = A \oplus S_A \oplus I + F_A$ is invertible. Similarly for $\tilde{B} = B \oplus I \oplus S_B + F_B$.

Corollary 2.3. *With $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ as above, we have*

$$d(a, b) = \det(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{B}^{-1})$$

2.2. **Joint torsion.** In [3], R. Carey and J. Pincus introduce a notion of determinant known as joint torsion $\tau(A,B)$ associated to any pair of commuting Fredholm operators A and B. This invariant is defined as follows: the operator A induces a morphism of the Koszul complex

$$K_\bullet(B): \quad 0 \to H \xrightarrow{B} H \to 0$$

The mapping cone $C(A)$ is identified with the joint Koszul complex $K_\bullet(A,B)$. This forms an exact triangle of complexes

$$K_\bullet(B) \to K_\bullet(B) \to K_\bullet(A,B) \to$$

and hence a long exact sequence $\mathcal{E}_A$ in homology. By switching the roles of A and B, we obtain a long exact sequence $\mathcal{E}_B$.

If V is a finite dimensional vector space, let $\det V = \Lambda^{\dim V} V$. Associated to any exact sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces

$$V: \quad 0 \to V_0 \to V_1 \to \cdots V_n \to 0$$

there is a canonical volume element

$$\tau(V) \in (\det V_0^* \otimes \det V_1 \otimes \cdots)$$

Using the canonical identification

$$\det V \otimes \det V^* \cong \mathbf{C}$$

we obtain the joint torsion $\tau(A,B)$, up to a sign, by comparing:

$$\tau(\mathcal{E}_A) \otimes \tau(\mathcal{E}_B)^* \in \mathbf{C}^\times$$

See [17] for a discussion of joint torsion more generally for commuting morphisms of complexes.

In [4], joint torsion is extended to the situation when A and B do not necessarily commute, but satisfy $[A,B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If $a, b \in \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{L}^1$ are invertible commuting elements, then by [10], there exist lifts $A, D \in \mathcal{B}$ of a and $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$ of b such that

$$AB = CD$$

One may proceed as before and define long exact sequences $\mathcal{E}_{A,D}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{B,C}$. In this case however,

$$\tau(\mathcal{E}_{A,D}) \otimes \tau(\mathcal{E}_{B,C})^* \in \det H(A) \otimes \det H(D)^* \otimes \det H(B)^* \otimes \det H(C)$$

To obtain a scalar, Carey and Pincus introduce perturbation vectors $\sigma_{A,D}$ and $\sigma_{B,C}$, which are canonical generators of the determinant lines, respectively,

$$\det H(A) \otimes \det H(D)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \det H(B)^* \otimes \det H(C)$$

We then obtain the joint torsion $\tau(A,B,C,D)$, up to a sign, by comparing:

$$\tau(\mathcal{E}_{A,D}) \otimes \tau(\mathcal{E}_{B,C})^* \otimes \sigma_{A,D} \otimes \sigma_{B,C} \in \mathbf{C}^\times$$

Since joint torsion is equal to the determinant invariant [18], we may write $\tau(A,B) = \tau(A',B',C',D')$, independent of choices of A', B', C', D'. Moreover we have:

Proposition 2.4. *Joint torsion is a continuous map into* $\mathbf{C}$ *from the space*

$$M = \{(A,B) \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are Fredholm and } [A,B] \in \mathcal{L}^1\}$$

endowed with the complete metric

$$d((A_1,B_1),(A_2,B_2)) = \|A_1 - A_2\| + \|B_1 - B_2\| + \|[A_1,B_1] - [A_2,B_2]\|_1$$

2.3. **Properties of joint torsion.** In this section we record a number of properties of joint torsion for later use. The following result expresses joint torsion as a multiplicative Lefschetz number. This follows quickly from the definitions [18]. See [5] for an earlier result on the determinant invariant.

Lemma 2.5. *If A and B are commuting Fredholm operators with vanishing Koszul homology, then*

$$\tau(A,B) = \frac{\det B|_{\ker A}}{\det B|_{\operatorname{coker} A}} \frac{\det A|_{\operatorname{coker} B}}{\det A|_{\ker B}}$$

Lemma 2.6. *If $[A,B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, then*

$$\tau(e^A, e^B) = e^{\operatorname{tr}[A,B]}$$

Proof. In this case,

$$\tau(e^A, e^B) = \det\left(e^A e^B e^{-A} e^{-B}\right)$$

and the result follows by the Helton-Howe-Pincus formula. □

It is convenient to state the following variational formula using the logarithmic derivative. Thus $\frac{d}{dz}\log u$ should be interpreted as $u^{-1}\frac{d}{dz}u$.

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose $A(z)$ is a differentiable family of operators such that $[A(z),B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ for every z. If in addition $[A(z),B]$ is differentiable in $\mathcal{L}^1$, then*

$$\frac{d}{dz}\log\tau(e^{A(z)}, e^B) = \log\tau(e^{\frac{d}{dz}A(z)}, e^B)$$

Lemma 2.8. *Whenever the following joint torsion numbers are defined, we have:*

(1) $\tau(A, B_1B_2) = \tau(A,B_1)\cdot\tau(A,B_2)$
(2) $\tau(A,I) = 1$
(3) $\tau(A,B)^{-1} = \tau(B,A)$
(4) $\tau(A, I-A) = 1$
(5) $\tau(A,-A) = 1$
(6) $\overline{\tau(A,B)} = \tau(A^*,B^*)^{-1}$
(7) $\tau(A,B^{-1}) = \tau(A,B)^{-1}$
(8) $\tau(A,A) = (-1)^{\operatorname{ind} A}$

Proof. Properties (1)-(6) follow from the corresponding properties of the determinant invariant. See for instance Lemma 4.2.14 and Theorem 4.2.17 of [20]. Property (7) follows from (1) and (2). To verify (8), notice that the two torsion factors in the definition of joint torsion are the same. Thus we are left with $(-1)^{\nu(A,A)}$, where $\nu(A,A)$ is the sign in the definition of joint torsion. The result follows since $\nu(A,A) = \operatorname{ind} A$. □

Lemma 2.9. *Whenever the following joint torsion numbers are defined, we have:*

(1) $\tau(A, A^*) \in \mathbf{R}$.
(2) *If A and B are self-adjoint, then* $|\tau(A,B)| = 1$.
(3) *If B is an idempotent, i.e. $B^2 = B$, then* $\tau(A,B) = 1$.
(4) *If A is self-adjoint and B is a partial isometry, then* $\tau(A,B) \in \mathbf{R}$.
(5) *If A and B are partial isometries, then* $|\tau(A,B)| = 1$.

Proof.

(1) By properties (6) and (3) of Lemma 2.8,
$$\overline{\tau(A,A^*)} = \tau(A^*,A)^{-1} = \tau(A,A^*)$$
(2) Since A and B are self-adjoint, Lemma 2.8(6) implies that
$$\overline{\tau(A,B)} = \tau(A,B)^{-1}$$
(3) By Lemma 2.8(1), $\tau(A,B) = \tau(A,B)^2$, and the result follows since joint torsion is nonzero.
(4) First let T be any Fredholm operator which commutes with B modulo $\mathcal{L}^1$. Since B is a Fredholm partial isometry, T also commutes with B^* modulo $\mathcal{L}^1$. Since B^*B is a projection, (3) implies that
$$\tau(T^*,B^*)\cdot\tau(T^*,B) = \tau(T^*,B^*B) = 1$$
so by Lemma 2.8(6),
$$\tau(T^*,B) = \overline{\tau(T,B)} \tag{2.1}$$
The result follows by setting $T = A$ since $A^* = A$.
(5) Applying (2.1) to both A and B yields
$$\tau(A,B) = \tau(A^*,B^*)$$
and the result follows by Lemma 2.8(6). □

In (4), if A is in fact positive, we will use the behavior of joint torsion under the functional calculus to show that $\tau(A,B) > 0$ (Proposition 3.11).

Lemma 2.10. *If A and B are commuting Fredholm operators, then for any $\lambda \neq 0$,*
$$\tau(A,\lambda B) = \lambda^{\operatorname{ind} A - \dim H_0 + \dim H_2}\tau(A,B)$$
where $H_0 = H_0(A,B)$ and $H_2 = H_2(A,B)$ are the joint Koszul homology spaces.

Proof. The two long exact sequences $\mathcal{E}_A$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda B}$ in the definition of $\tau(A, \lambda B)$ are the same as those for $\tau(A, B)$, except for a factor of λ, given by the exponent on λ above. □

Corollary 2.11. *If A and B are commuting Fredholm operators, then*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \tau(A, \lambda B) = \frac{\operatorname{ind} A - \dim H_0 + \dim H_2}{\lambda}$$

3. Transformation rules for joint torsion

3.1. **Commutators.** If $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^p$, and either

(1) f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(A)$, or
(2) A is self-adjoint and f is C^∞ on $\sigma(A)$,

then $[f(A), B] \in \mathcal{L}^p$ [7, Appendix 1]. Below we calculate the trace of such a commutator.

Lemma 3.1. *If $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and f is an entire function, then*

$$\operatorname{tr}[f(A), B] = \operatorname{tr}\left(f'(A)[A, B]\right)$$

Proof. Write $f(z) = \sum c_k z^k$. Then $[f(A), B] = \sum c_k [A^k, B]$. Using the identity

$$[A^k, B] = \sum_{l=1}^{k} A^{l-1}[A, B]A^{k-l} \tag{3.1}$$

we find that

$$\operatorname{tr}[A^k, B] = \operatorname{tr}(k\, A^{k-1}[A, B])$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}[f(A), B] &= \operatorname{tr} \sum k c_k A^{k-1}[A, B] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(f'(A)[A, B]\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

Let f be holomorphic on a neighborhood of the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of an operator A. By an admissible contour Γ for defining $f(A)$, we mean a collection of Jordan curves in the neighborhood that enclose $\sigma(A)$ on the left. Thus

$$f(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma (\lambda - A)^{-1} f(\lambda)\, d\lambda \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If either*

(1) *f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(A)$, or*
(2) *A is self-adjoint and f is C^∞ on $\sigma(A)$*

then

$$\operatorname{tr}[f(A), B] = \operatorname{tr}\left(f'(A)[A, B]\right)$$

Proof. Recall that in both cases $[f(A), B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ by [7, Appendix 1], and we adapt arguments therein.

(1) Let Γ be an admissible contour for defining $f(A)$. Since $[(\lambda - A)^{-1}, B] = (\lambda - A)^{-1}[A, B](\lambda - A)^{-1}$, we find

$$[f(A), B] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma (\lambda - A)^{-1}[A, B](\lambda - A)^{-1} f(\lambda)\, d\lambda$$

and the mapping $\lambda \mapsto [(\lambda - A)^{-1}, B]$ is continuous into $\mathcal{L}^1$. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{tr}\left((\lambda - A)^{-1}[A, B](\lambda - A)^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left((\lambda - A)^{-2}[A, B]\right)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}[f(A), B] &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma (\lambda - A)^{-2} f(\lambda)\, d\lambda\, [A, B]\right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(f'(A)[A, B]\right)\end{aligned}$$

(2) We may assume that f has compact support, so that $f = \hat{g}$, the Fourier transform of a Schwartz class function g. Hence

$$[f(A), B] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int [e^{-itA}, B] g(t)\, dt$$

By the preceding lemma,

$$\operatorname{tr}[e^{-itA}, B] = \operatorname{tr}\left(-ite^{-itA}[A, B]\right)$$

and again by continuity,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}[f(A), B] &= \operatorname{tr}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int -ite^{-itA} g(t)\, dt[A, B] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(f'(A)[A, B]\right)\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *With the same hypotheses as above,*

$$\tau(e^{f(A)}, e^B) = \tau(e^A, e^{f'(A)B})$$

Proof. Since A and $f'(A)$ commute, we have $f'(A)[A, B] = [A, f'(A)B]$. The result then follows by Lemma 2.6. □

3.2. **Perturbations.** Analogues of Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 hold for suitable functions applied to $\mathcal{L}^p$-perturbations. We will need the following estimate for the exponential function:

Proposition 3.4. *If A and A' are self-adjoint with $A - A' \in \mathcal{L}^p$, then $e^{itA} - e^{itA'} \in \mathcal{L}^p$ with*

$$\|e^{itA} - e^{itA'}\|_p \leq C\,(|t| + 1)$$

where

$$C = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|e^{itA} - e^{itA'}\|_p$$

Proof. Using the identity

$$r^n - s^n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} s^{k-1}(r-s)r^{n-k} \tag{3.3}$$

we find that

$$\|e^{itnA} - e^{itnA'}\|_p \leq n\|e^{itA} - e^{itA'}\|_p$$

The result then follows by scaling. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let $K \in \mathcal{L}^p$. If either*

1. *f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(K)$, or*
2. *K is self-adjoint and f is C^∞ on $\sigma(K)$,*

then $f(K) - f(0)I \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

Note that in (2), $\sigma(K)$ consists of 0 and real eigenvalues possibly accumulating to 0 by the spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators.

Proof.

(1) Let Γ be an admissible contour for defining $f(K)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(K) - f(0)I &= \int_\Gamma \left[(\lambda - K)^{-1} - (\lambda I)^{-1}\right] f(\lambda)\, d\lambda \\ &= K \int_\Gamma (\lambda^2 - \lambda K)^{-1} f(\lambda)\, d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

The latter integral converges in norm, and the result follows.

(2) We may assume that f has compact support, so that $f = \hat{g}$ for a Schwartz class function g. Then

$$f(K) - f(0)I = \int \left(e^{-itK} - I\right) g(t)\, dt$$

The integral converges in $\mathcal{L}^p$-norm by the preceding proposition with $A = K$ and $A' = 0$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $A - A' \in \mathcal{L}^p$. If either*

1. *f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A')$ and there is a contour that defines both $f(A)$ and $f(A')$, or*
2. *A and A' are self-adjoint and f is C^∞ on $\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A')$,*

then $f(A) - f(A') \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

Proof. The proof proceeds as in the previous proposition. For part (1), one uses the identity

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} - (\lambda - A')^{-1} = (\lambda - A)^{-1}(A - A')(\lambda - A')^{-1}$$ □

3.3. **Joint torsion.** For a given Fredholm operator A, we begin with a simple characterization of holomorphic functions f that preserve the Fredholmness of A. We will use the following factorization of holomorphic functions:

Definition 3.7. Let f be a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of a compact set K. Then the collection of zeros $\{\lambda \in K \,|\, f(\lambda) = 0\}$ is finite. Define the polynomial

$$p_K(z) = \prod_{\{\lambda \in K \,|\, f(\lambda)=0\}} (z-\lambda)^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f)}$$

where $\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f)$ is the order of the zero at λ. Then

$$f = p_K q_K$$

for a holomorphic function q_K with no zeros in K.

The index formula (3.4) below is a special case of [11, Theorem 10.3.13]. See also [15, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 3.8. *Let A be a Fredholm operator and let f be holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(A)$. Then $f(A)$ is Fredholm if and only if $f^{-1}(0)$ is disjoint from the essential spectrum $\sigma_e(A)$. In this case,*

$$\mathrm{ind}\, f(A) = \sum_{\{\lambda \in \sigma(A) \,|\, f(\lambda)=0\}} \mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f) \cdot \mathrm{ind}(A-\lambda) \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Let $p = p_{\sigma(A)}$ and $q = q_{\sigma(A)}$ from the definition above. Then $f(A) = p(A)q(A)$, and q is invertible on a neighborhood of $\sigma(A)$, so $q(A)$ is invertible. The first assertion then follows by factoring p, and the index formula follows by the additive property of the index: $\mathrm{ind}\, ST = \mathrm{ind}\, S + \mathrm{ind}\, T$. □

More generally one has the following necessary condition for the Borel functional calculus to preserve Fredholmness:

Proposition 3.9. *Let A be a normal Fredholm operator, and let $f \in L^\infty(\sigma(A))$. If the sets $f^{-1}(0)$ and $f^{-1}(\pm\infty)$ are finite and disjoint from $\sigma_e(A)$, then $f(A)$ is Fredholm.*

Proof. The strategy is to excise the sets $f^{-1}(0)$ and $f^{-1}(\pm\infty)$ and use the resulting function to construct a parametrix for $f(A)$. Suppose $f(\lambda) = 0$, $+\infty$, or $-\infty$. Let U_n be a nested sequence of open subsets of $\sigma(A)$ such that $\cap U_n = \{\lambda\}$. Then χ_{U_n} converges to $\chi_{\{\lambda\}}$ pointwise, so $P_n = \chi_{U_n}(A)$ converges to $P = \chi_{\{\lambda\}}(A)$ strongly. Now P is either 0 or the projection onto the λ-eigenspace of A, which is finite dimensional since $A - \lambda$ is Fredholm. Since P_n is a descending sequence of projections that converge to a finite rank projection, there is an N for which P_n is finite dimensional for all $n > N$.

Let $U_\lambda = U_n$ and $\chi_\lambda = \chi_{U_\lambda}$ for some $n > N$. By taking n large enough, we may assume that the open sets U_λ are pairwise disjoint, where λ ranges over all the singularities and zeros of f. Then

$$g = (1 - \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda) f + \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda$$

is invertible in $L^\infty(\sigma(A))$, and $g(A) - f(A)$ is a finite rank operator. Hence, $g(A)^{-1}$ is a parametrix for $f(A)$ modulo finite rank operators, so $f(A)$ is Fredholm. □

Next we obtain a multiplicative analogue of (3.4):

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose A and B are Fredholm operators with $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(A)$ and $f(A)$ is Fredholm, then*

$$\tau(f(A), B) = \prod_{\{\lambda \in \sigma(A) \,|\, f(\lambda) = 0\}} \tau(A - \lambda, B)^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f)} \cdot \tau(q(A), B)$$

with $q = q_{\sigma(A)}$ as in Definition 3.7, so that $q(A)$ is invertible.

Proof. First we note that $[f(A), B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ by Proposition 3.2(1). Writing $f = pq$, we have $[p(A), B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, so $[q(A), B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ as well. By multiplicativity,

$$\tau(f(A), B) = \tau(p(A), B) \cdot \tau(q(A), B)$$

Since $p(A)$ is a product of factors $A - \lambda$, we find that $\tau(p(A), B)$ further factors as the product above. □

3.4. **Positivity of joint torsion.** In this section we investigate general conditions under which joint torsion is positive. This is used to clarify the relationship between joint torsion and the polar decomposition, and also to obtain variational formulas.

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose A and B are Fredholm operators and $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If A is positive and B is a partial isometry, then $\tau(A, B) > 0$.*

Proof. Let $F = P_{\ker A}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\ker A = \operatorname{im} A^\perp$. Then $A+F$ is positive-definite. By Proposition 3.2(2), B commutes with $T = (A+F)^{1/2}$ modulo $\mathcal{L}^1$. Hence

$$\tau(A + F, B) = \tau(T, B)^2$$

By Lemma 2.9, $\tau(T, B) \in \mathbf{R}$ since T is self-adjoint. Hence

$$\tau(A, B) = \tau(A + F, B) > 0$$ □

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose A and B are Fredholm operators with $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $[A, B^*] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. Then with respect to the polar decompositions*

$$A = P_A V_A, \quad B = P_B V_B$$

we have

$$|\tau(A, B)| = \tau(P_A, V_B) \cdot \tau(V_A, P_B)$$

and consequently,

$$\frac{\tau(A,B)}{|\tau(A,B)|} = \tau(P_A, P_B) \cdot \tau(V_A, V_B)$$

Proof. First notice that P_A and V_A are Fredholm since A is. Similarly, P_B and V_B are Fredholm. We must show that the four joint torsion numbers above are well-defined, that is, the appropriate commutators lie in $\mathcal{L}^1$. Our strategy is to show first that $[P_A, B]$, $[A, P_B]$, $[P_A, P_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, then $[V_A, P_B]$, $[P_A, V_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, and finally $[V_A, V_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

If $F_A = P_{\ker A}$, then $A^*A + F_A$ is invertible and commutes with B modulo $\mathcal{L}^1$. By Proposition 3.2(2), $[(A^*A + F_A)^{1/2}, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, so $[P_A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ as well, with $P_A = (A^*A)^{1/2}$. By reversing the roles of A and B, we find that $[A, P_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. Moreover, by replacing B by P_B, we find that $[P_A, P_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

Next, we calculate modulo $\mathcal{L}^1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[V_A, P_B] &\equiv [(P_A + F_A)^{-1} P_A V_A, P_B] \\
&\equiv [(P_A + F_A)^{-1} A, P_B] \\
&\equiv (P_A + F_A)^{-1}[A, P_B] + [(P_A + F_A)^{-1}, P_B]A
\end{aligned}$$

The first term is in $\mathcal{L}^1$ since $[A, P_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, and the second term is in $\mathcal{L}^1$ since $[P_A, P_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ as well. Similarly, $[P_A, V_B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ by reversing the roles of A and B.

Again we calculate modulo $\mathcal{L}^1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[V_A, V_B] &\equiv [(P_A + F_A)^{-1} A, (P_B + F_B)^{-1} B] \\
&\equiv (P_A + F_A)^{-1}[A, (P_B + F_B)^{-1}]B + (P_A + F_A)^{-1}(P_B + F_B)^{-1}[A, B] \\
&\quad + [(P_A + F_A)^{-1}, (P_B + F_B)^{-1}]BA + (P_B + F_B)^{-1}[(P_A + F_A)^{-1}, B]A
\end{aligned}$$

As before, all four of the above terms are evidently in $\mathcal{L}^1$.

By the multiplicative property of joint torsion,

$$\tau(A,B) = \tau(P_A, V_B) \cdot \tau(V_A, P_B) \cdot \tau(P_A, P_B) \cdot \tau(V_A, V_B)$$

The first two factors are positive by preceding proposition. The third factor has magnitude one by Lemma 2.9(2), as does the last factor by Lemma 2.9(5). □

Proposition 3.13. *Suppose A and B are Fredholm operators with $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If A is positive, and B is a partial isometry, then for all $t \geq 0$,*

$$\tau(A^t, B) = \tau(A, B)^t$$

If A is positive-definite, then the formula holds for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. First we note that $\tau(A, B) > 0$ by Proposition 3.11, $[A^t, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ by Proposition 3.2(2), and A^t is Fredholm with parametrix $(A + P_{\ker A})^{-t}$. The formula holds for positive integers by repeated application of Lemma 2.8(1), and

for $t = 0$ by Lemma 2.8(2). The formula also holds for all positive rational numbers: if p and q are any positive integers, then

$$\tau(A^{p/q}, B)^q = \tau(A, B)^p$$

If $F = P_{\ker A}$, then $A + F$ is positive and invertible, and $\tau(A^t, B) = \tau((A + F)^t, B)$. We will show that the map $t \mapsto ((A + F)^t, B)$, $t > 0$, is a continuous map into the space M in Proposition 2.4. Since $t \mapsto (A + F)^t$ is continuous in norm, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \|[(A + F)^t, B]\|_1 = 0$$

Since $[\log(A + F), B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$ by Proposition 3.2(2), this follows from the estimate

$$\|[(A + F)^t, B]\|_1 \leq t\, e^{t\|\log(A+F)\|} \|[\log(A + F), B]\|_1$$

Joint torsion is continuous on M by Proposition 2.4, so the map $t \mapsto \tau(A^t, B)$ is continuous. Thus the result extends from rational t to all $t \geq 0$. Finally, if A is positive definite, then A^{-t} is also positive definite for any $t > 0$. By the above result for positive t, we find

$$\tau(A^{-t}, B)^t = \tau(A, B) \qquad \square$$

A similar result holds when A and B are positive. In this case, $\tau(A, B) \in S^1$ by Lemma 2.9. Suppose A and B are positive Fredholm operators with $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If $F_A = P_{\ker A}$ and $F_B = P_{\ker B}$, then

$$\phi(A, B) = -i \operatorname{tr} [\log(A + F_A), \log(B + F_B)] \in \mathbf{R}$$

is well-defined by Proposition 3.2. Since $\tau(A, B) = \tau(A + F_A, B + F_B)$, Lemma 2.5 gives

$$\tau(A, B) = e^{i\phi(A,B)}$$

and we find that $\phi(A, B)$ enjoys the additive versions of the properties in Lemma 2.8. Moreover, we have:

Proposition 3.14. *If A and B are positive Fredholm operators with $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$, then for all $t > 0$,*

$$\tau(A^t, B) = e^{it\phi(A,B)} = \tau(A, B)^t$$

If A is positive-definite, then the formula holds for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. This follows by noticing that $\tau(A^t, B) = \tau((A + F_A)^t, B + F_B)$, then using the fact that $A + F_A$ and $B + F_B$ have logarithms. $\square$

Corollary 3.15. *Suppose A and B are Fredholm operators with $[A, B] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If A is positive and B is either positive or a partial isometry, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log \tau(A^t, B) = \log \tau(A, B)$$

4. Fredholm modules

Let (A, H, F) be a $2p$-summable Fredholm module, i.e. $[\phi, F] \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$ for any $\phi \in A$. Let $P = \frac{1}{2}(F + I)$ be the projection onto the $+1$-eigenspace of F, so in particular, $[\phi, P] \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$ for any $\phi \in A$.

Definition 4.1. For $\phi \in A$, write $T_\phi = P\phi P$.

The main goal of this section is to show that $f(T_\phi) - T_{f(\phi)} \in \mathcal{L}^p$ for suitable functions f. First let us prove a corresponding result for the continuous functional calculus modulo compact operators:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}$ be normal and let $\pi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ be the quotient map onto the Calkin algebra. If $f \in C(\sigma(T))$, then $\pi(f(T)) = f(\pi(T))$.*

Proof. The polynomial functional calculus commutes with the quotient map, so the result follows from the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem by approximating f by polynomials. □

In the case of Toeplitz operators, we have the following:

Corollary 4.3. *If $\phi \in C(S^1)$ and $f \in C(\sigma(T_\phi))$, then $f(T_\phi) - T_{f\circ\phi} \in \mathcal{K}$. In particular, $T_\phi = \phi(T_z)$ modulo $\mathcal{K}$.*

Example 4.4. If $f \in C(S^1)$ is non-vanishing, then

$$\operatorname{ind} T_f = \operatorname{ind} f(T_z)$$

If f has a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of the closed unit disk, then Proposition 3.8 yields the classical index formula for Toeplitz operators:

$$\operatorname{ind} T_f = \int_{S^1} \frac{df}{f} = -(\text{the winding number of } f)$$

Next we show that the entire functional calculus commutes with the symbol map modulo $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$. This complements the results of [9] and Proposition 4.9 below. Assume that A is closed under the entire functional calculus. Otherwise, we may replace A by the algebra generated by $f(a)$, for all $a \in A$ and entire functions f. The resulting algebra still has the property that $[\phi, P] \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$. In fact, if $[a, P] \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$ and f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(a)$, then $[f(a), P] \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$ by [7, Appendix 1].

Lemma 4.5. *For any $\phi \in A$ and integer $k > 1$, $T_\phi^k - T_{\phi^k} \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$, with*

$$\|T_\phi^k - T_{\phi^k}\|_{2p} \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\|\phi\|^{k-1}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}$$

Proof. Each term in the identity

$$(P\phi)^k P - P\phi^k P = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (P\phi)^{k-l}[\phi^l, P]P$$

contains a commutator, so $(P\phi)^k P - P\phi^k P \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$. Using the identity (3.1), we estimate

$$\|[\phi^l, P]\|_{2p} \leq l\|\phi\|^{l-1}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}$$

Hence

$$\|(P\phi)^k P - P\phi^k P\|_{2p} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} l\|\phi\|^{k-1}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}$$

and the result follows. □

Definition 4.6. For an entire function $f(z) = \sum c_k z^k$, let $\tilde{f}(z) = \sum |c_k| z^k$.

Proposition 4.7. *For any $\phi \in A$ and any entire function f, $T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi) \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$ with*

$$\|T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi)\|_{2p} \leq \frac{\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}}{2\|\phi\|}\tilde{f}''(\|\phi\|)$$

Proof. Write $f(z) = \sum c_k z^k$. The first two terms in the expansion

$$T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \left(P\phi^k P - (P\phi)^k P\right)$$

vanish, and by Lemma 4.5 we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi)\|_{2p} &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k| \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\|\phi\|^{k-1}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p} \\
&\leq \frac{\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}}{2\|\phi\|} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)|c_k|\|\phi\|^{k-2} \\
&\leq \frac{\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}}{2\|\phi\|}\tilde{f}''(\|\phi\|)
\end{aligned}$$

□

In fact, the entire functional calculus commutes with the symbol map modulo $\mathcal{L}^p$. First we isolate the following analogue of Lemma 4.5:

Lemma 4.8. *For any $\phi \in A$ and integer $k > 1$, $T_\phi^k - T_{\phi^k} \in \mathcal{L}^p$, with*

$$\|T_\phi^k - T_{\phi^k}\|_p \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\|\phi\|^{k-2}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}^2$$

Proof. First one verifies that

$$(P\phi)^k P - P\phi^k P = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} P[P, \phi^l][P, \phi](P\phi)^{k-l-1}P$$

using the identity $P[P, \psi][P, \chi]P = P\psi(P-I)\chi P$. Each term of the sum contains a product of commutators, so it is in $\mathcal{L}^p$, and by (3.1),

$$\|[P, \phi^l]\|_{2p} \leq l\|\phi\|^{l-1}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}$$

Hence

$$\|(P\phi)^k P - P\phi^k P\|_p \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} l\|\phi\|^{k-2}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}^2$$

and the result follows. □

Proposition 4.9. *For any $\phi \in A$ and any entire function f, $T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi) \in \mathcal{L}^p$ with*

$$\|T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi)\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}^2 \tilde{f}''(\|\phi\|)$$

Proof. Write $f(z) = \sum c_k z^k$. The first two terms in the expansion

$$T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \left(P\phi^k P - (P\phi)^k P\right)$$

vanish, and by Lemma 4.8 we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_{f(\phi)} - f(T_\phi)\|_p &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k| \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}^2 \|\phi\|^{k-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)|c_k|\|\phi\|^{k-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|[\phi, P]\|_{2p}^2 \tilde{f}''(\|\phi\|)\end{aligned}$$

□

We will need a sharper estimate for the exponential function:

Proposition 4.10. *If ϕ is self-adjoint, then $e^{T_{it\phi}} - T_{e^{it\phi}} \in \mathcal{L}^p$ for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and*

$$\|e^{T_{it\phi}} - T_{e^{it\phi}}\|_p \leq (|t| + 1)^2(c_1 + c_2)$$

where

$$c_1 = \max_{0\leq t\leq 1} \|e^{T_{it\phi}} - T_{e^{it\phi}}\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = \max_{0\leq t\leq 1} \|[P, e^{it\phi}]\|_{2p}^2$$

Proof. Setting $r = e^{T_{it\phi}}$ and $s = T_{e^{it\phi}}$ in identity (3.3), we obtain

$$\|e^{T_{int\phi}} - (T_{e^{it\phi}})^n\|_p \leq \|e^{T_{it\phi}} - T_{e^{it\phi}}\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|T_{e^{it\phi}}\|^{k-1}\|e^{T_{it\phi}}\|^{n-k}$$

Since $\|e^{T_{it\phi}}\| = 1$ and $\|T_{e^{it\phi}}\| \leq 1$, we find

$$\|e^{T_{int\phi}} - (T_{e^{it\phi}})^n\|_p \leq n\|e^{T_{it\phi}} - T_{e^{it\phi}}\|_p \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 4.8,

$$\|(T_f)^n - T_{f^n}\|_p \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\|[P, f]\|_{2p}^2 \|f\|^{n-2}$$

Setting $f = e^{it\phi}$, we have $\|f\| = 1$, so

$$\|(T_{e^{it\phi}})^n - T_{e^{int\phi}}\|_p \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\|[P, e^{it\phi}]\|_{2p}^2 \tag{4.2}$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2), we find

$$\|e^{T_{int\phi}} - T_{e^{int\phi}}\|_p \leq n^2(\|e^{T_{it\phi}} - T_{e^{it\phi}}\|_p + \|[P, e^{it\phi}]\|_{2p}^2)$$

and the result follows by scaling. □

We are now able to obtain an analogue of Proposition 3.6 for summable Fredholm modules. Below we regard ϕ as an operator on H and T_ϕ as an operator on PH, and we view $\sigma(\phi)$, $\sigma(T_\phi)$, $f(\phi)$, and $f(T_\phi)$ accordingly.

Theorem 4.11. *If either*

1. *f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(\phi)\cup\sigma(T_\phi)$ and there is a contour Γ that defines both $f(\phi)$ and $f(T_\phi)$, or*
2. *ϕ is self-adjoint and f is C^∞ on $\sigma(\phi)\cup\sigma(T_\phi)$,*

then $f(T_\phi) - T_{f(\phi)} \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

Proof.

(1) Notice that $(\lambda - P\phi P)^{-1} - P(\lambda - \phi)^{-1}P$ can be written as

$$P[(\lambda-\phi)^{-1}, P][\phi, P]P(\lambda - P\phi P)^{-1}$$

Since $[P, \phi] \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}$, the assignment

$$\lambda \mapsto (\lambda - P\phi P)^{-1} - P(\lambda-\phi)^{-1}P$$

is a continuous map into $\mathcal{L}^p$. Hence

$$f(T_\phi) - T_{f(\phi)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \left((\lambda - P\phi P)^{-1} - P(\lambda-\phi)^{-1}P\right) f(\lambda)\, d\lambda$$

converges in $\mathcal{L}^p$.

(2) As in Proposition 3.5, we may assume that f has compact support, so that $f = \hat{g}$ for a Schwartz class function g. Then

$$f(T_\phi) - T_{f(\phi)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int \left(e^{T_{-it\phi}} - T_{e^{-it\phi}}\right) g(t)\, dt$$

and the result follows by Proposition 4.10. □

5. Toeplitz operators and tame symbols

In this section, we apply our techniques to Toeplitz operators and obtain formulas for joint torsion in terms of Tate tame symbols. Let $P : L^2(S^1) \to H^2(S^1)$ be the orthogonal projection onto the Hardy space $H^2(S^1)$. Any function $\phi \in L^\infty(S^1)$ defines a bounded operator on $L^2(S^1)$ by multiplication by ϕ. Let us begin by recalling results on commutators of Toeplitz operators.

Lemma 5.1. *If $\phi \in L^\infty(S^1)$ is in the Sobolev space $W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(S^1) = H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1)$, then*

$$(I-P)\phi P, P\phi(I-P), [\phi, P] \in \mathcal{L}^2(L^2(S^1))$$

with

$$\|[\phi, P]\|_2 \leq \|\phi\|_{W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(S^1)}$$

Proof. Write $\phi = \sum c_n e^{in\theta}$. A straightforward calculation shows that $(I-P)\phi P \in \mathcal{L}^2$, with

$$\|(I-P)\phi P\|_2^2 = \sum_{n>0} n|c_n|^2,$$

By taking adjoints, $P\phi(I-P) \in \mathcal{L}^2$ as well, with

$$\|P\phi(I-P)\|_2^2 = -\sum_{n<0} n|c_n|^2$$

Hence $[\phi, P] = (I-P)\phi P - P\phi(I-P) \in \mathcal{L}^2$, and

$$\|[\phi, P]\|_2^2 = \sum_{n\neq 0} |n||c_n|^2$$ □

In this case, Toeplitz operators have trace class commutators, and the Berger-Shaw formula calculates this trace:

Theorem 5.2. *If $f, g \in L^\infty(S^1) \cap W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(S^1)$, then $[T_f, T_g] \in \mathcal{L}^1$. If $f, g \in C^1(S^1)$, then*

$$\operatorname{tr}[T_f, T_g] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int f\, dg$$

Proof. First notice that $[T_f, T_g] = Pg(I-P)fP - Pf(I-P)gP$. Both terms are trace class since they are products of two operators which are Hilbert-Schmidt by the preceding lemma. The trace formula then follows by writing f and g in the basis $\{e^{in\theta}\}$. □

5.1. H^∞ symbols.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose $\phi \in C(S^1) \cap H^\infty(S^1)$ is invertible in $H^\infty(S^1)$.*

1. *If $|\lambda| > 1$, then $\tau(T_\phi, T_z - \lambda) = 1$.*
2. *If $|\lambda| < 1$, then $\tau(T_\phi, T_z - \lambda) = \phi(\lambda)$, with ϕ extended holomorphically to the interior of the unit disk.*

Proof. First notice that T_ϕ is invertible with inverse $T_{1/\phi}$. If $|\lambda| > 1$, then $z - \lambda$ is invertible in $H^\infty(S^1)$ as well. The operators T_ϕ and $T_z - \lambda$ commute, so in this case $\tau(T_\phi, T_z - \lambda) = 1$.

Now suppose $|\lambda| < 1$. By Lemma 2.8(6), it is enough to show that $\tau(T_{\bar{z}} - \bar{\lambda}, T_{\bar{\phi}}) = \overline{\phi(\lambda)}$. In this case, $\operatorname{coker}(T_{\bar{z}} - \bar{\lambda}) = \{0\}$ and

$$\ker(T_{\bar{z}} - \bar{\lambda}) = \operatorname{span}\left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda}z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\bar{\lambda}z)^k\right)$$

The operator $T_{\bar{\phi}}$ acts as multiplication by $\overline{\phi(\lambda)}$ on the one dimensional subspace $\ker(T_{\bar{z}} - \bar{\lambda})$. In particular,

$$\det T_{\bar{\phi}}|_{\ker(T_{\bar{z}}-\bar{\lambda})} = \overline{\phi(\lambda)}$$

This is the joint torsion by Lemma 2.5 since $T_{\bar{\phi}}$ is invertible and commutes with $T_{\bar{z}} - \bar{\lambda}$. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}$.*

(1) *If $|\lambda_1| > 1$ and $|\lambda_2| > 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_z - \lambda_2) = 1$.*
(2) *If $|\lambda_1| < 1$ and $|\lambda_2| > 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_z - \lambda_2) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1}$.*
(3) *If $|\lambda_1| > 1$ and $|\lambda_2| < 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_z - \lambda_2) = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$.*
(4) *If $|\lambda_1| < 1$ and $|\lambda_2| < 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_z - \lambda_2) = -1$.*

Proof. In case (1), both $T_z - \lambda_1$ and $T_z - \lambda_2$ are invertible in $H^\infty(S^1)$ and commute with each other, so $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_z - \lambda_2) = 1$.

Cases (2) and (3) follow from the preceding proposition.

For (4), we use the multiplicative property of joint torsion:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_z - \lambda_2) = \tau(T_{\bar{z}}, T_{\bar{z}}) \cdot \tau(T_{\bar{z}}, T_{\bar{z}(z-\lambda_2)})^{-1} \\ \cdot \tau(T_{\bar{z}(z-\lambda_1)}, T_{\bar{z}})^{-1} \cdot \tau(T_{\bar{z}(z-\lambda_1)}, T_{\bar{z}(z-\lambda_2)})\end{aligned}$$

The first term is -1, and the last term is 1 since $T_{\bar{z}(z-\lambda_1)}$ and $T_{\bar{z}(z-\lambda_2)}$ are invertible and commute with each other. The middle two terms are both 1 by the above proposition. Hence, $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_z - \lambda_2) = -1$. □

If f and g are meromorphic at $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, then the quotient

$$\frac{f^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(g)}}{g^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f)}}$$

is regular at λ. Here, ord_λ denotes the order of the zero or pole at λ.

Definition 5.5. The tame symbol $c_\lambda(f, g)$ of f and g at λ is defined as

$$c_\lambda(f, g) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f)\cdot\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(g)} \frac{f^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(g)}}{g^{\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(f)}}(\lambda)$$

Definition 5.6. If $a \in \mathbf{C}$ is nonzero, the Blaschke factor B_a is

$$B_a(z) = \frac{|a|}{a}\frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z}$$

Let $B_0(z) = z$ and $B_\infty(z) = \bar{z}$. A product of Blaschke factors is known as a Blaschke product.

Notice that for $z \in S^1$, we have

$$\overline{B_a(z)} = B_{\bar{a}}(\bar{z}) = B_{1/\bar{a}}(z) \tag{5.1}$$

The preceding propositions may be rephrased in terms of tame symbols, and in fact we have:

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose f and g are products of*

(1) *invertible functions in $C(S^1) \cap H^\infty(S^1)$,*
(2) *polynomials, and*
(3) *Blaschke factors B_a with $|a| < 1$.*

If f and g are non-vanishing on S^1, then

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \prod_{|\lambda|<1} c_\lambda(f, g)$$

Proof. A straightforward calculation with $f(z) = z - \lambda_1$ and $g(z) = z - \lambda_2$ verifies that

$$\prod_{|\lambda_i|<1} c_{\lambda_i}(z - \lambda_1, z - \lambda_2)$$

agrees with (1)-(4) in Proposition 5.4. Since both joint torsion and the tame symbol are multiplicative, the result holds for polynomials. By Proposition 5.3, we find that the result holds for factors of type (1) and (2). If $|a| < 1$, then B_a is the product of a polynomial and $(1 - \bar{a}z)^{-1} \in H^\infty(S^1)$. Hence factors of type (3) are products of types (1) and (2). □

We will need the following Beurling-Szegő factorization into inner and outer functions. See for instance [6].

Theorem 5.8. *If $f \in H^\infty(S^1)$ is continuous and non-vanishing on S^1, then there exists an outer function ϕ that is invertible in $H^\infty(S^1)$ such that*

$$f = \phi \cdot \prod B_a$$

where the above product is taken over finitely many zeros a with $|a| < 1$.

The following result was first obtained in [3, Proposition 1]. See also [19]. See [17] for a generalization to the multivariable setting.

Theorem 5.9. *If $f, g \in H^\infty(S^1)$ are continuous and non-vanishing on S^1, then $\tau(T_f, T_g)$ is the product of tame symbols:*

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \prod_{|a|<1} c_a(f, g)$$

Proof. As in the preceding theorem, write

$$f = \phi_f \cdot \prod B_a, \quad g = \phi_g \cdot \prod B_b$$

By Proposition 5.7, the joint torsion numbers

$$\tau(\phi_f, \phi_g), \tau(\phi_f, B_b), \tau(B_a, \phi_g), \tau(B_a, B_b)$$

agree with the corresponding tame symbols. The result then follows since both joint torsion and the tame symbol are bimultiplicative. □

5.2. L^∞ **symbols.** In this section we extend the above result to the almost commuting setting.

Proposition 5.10. *Suppose $\phi \in C(S^1) \cap H^\infty(S^1)$ is invertible in $H^\infty(S^1)$.*

(1) *If $|\lambda| > 1$, then $\tau(T_\phi, T_{\bar{z}} - \lambda) = \frac{\phi(1/\lambda)}{\phi(0)}$.*
(2) *If $|\lambda| < 1$, then $\tau(T_\phi, T_{\bar{z}} - \lambda) = \frac{1}{\phi(0)}$.*

Proof. If $\lambda = 0$, then

$$\tau(T_\phi, T_{\bar{z}}) \cdot \tau(T_\phi, T_z) = \tau(T_\phi, I) = 1$$

By Proposition 5.3, the second factor is $\phi(0)$, so the result follows in this case.

If $\lambda \neq 0$, we may write

$$-\frac{1}{\lambda}(\bar{z} - \lambda)z = z - \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

so that

$$\tau(T_\phi, T_{-1/\lambda}) \cdot \tau(T_\phi, T_{\bar{z}-\lambda}) \cdot \tau(T_\phi, T_z) = \tau(T_\phi, T_{z-1/\lambda})$$

The first factor is 1 since ϕ is invertible and the third factor is $\phi(0)$. Hence

$$\tau(T_\phi, T_{\bar{z}-\lambda}) = \frac{\tau(T_\phi, T_{z-1/\lambda})}{\phi(0)}$$

and result follows by the Proposition 5.3. □

Proposition 5.11. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}$.*

(1) *If $|\lambda_1| > 1$ and $|\lambda_2| > 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_{\bar{z}} - \lambda_2) = 1 - (\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{-1}$.*
(2) *If $|\lambda_1| < 1$ and $|\lambda_2| > 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_{\bar{z}} - \lambda_2) = -\lambda_2^{-1}$.*
(3) *If $|\lambda_1| > 1$ and $|\lambda_2| < 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_{\bar{z}} - \lambda_2) = -\lambda_1^{-1}$.*
(4) *If $|\lambda_1| < 1$ and $|\lambda_2| < 1$, then $\tau(T_z - \lambda_1, T_{\bar{z}} - \lambda_2) = (\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 1)^{-1}$.*

Proof. This result follows from Proposition 5.4, as the preceding proposition follows from Proposition 5.3. □

Notice that $\bar{z} - \lambda$ extends meromorphically to the interior of the unit disk as

$$\frac{1}{z} - \lambda$$

with a simple pole at 0 and a simple zero at $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. A straightforward verification shows that the previous two propositions express the joint torsion as a product of tame symbols. Since a Blaschke factor is the ratio of two linear factors, we have the following non-commutative generalization of Proposition 5.7:

Proposition 5.12. *Suppose f and g are products of*

(1) *invertible functions in $C(S^1) \cap H^\infty(S^1)$,*
(2) *trigonometric polynomials in z and $\bar{z}$, and*
(3) *Blaschke factors B_a with $a \in \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

If f and g are non-vanishing on S^1, then

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \prod_{|\lambda|<1} c_\lambda(f, g)$$

Here, f and g have been extended meromorphically to the interior of the unit disk.

Now suppose $f \in L^\infty(S^1)$ such that T_f is Fredholm. Then f is continuous and non-vanishing on S^1, say with winding number n. The function $z^{-n}f(z)$ has winding number zero, so there is a continuous function $\tilde{f}$ such that

$$e^{\tilde{f}(z)} = z^{-n}f(z)$$

Let $\tilde{f}_+ = P\tilde{f}$, $\tilde{f}_- = (I-P)\tilde{f}$, where $P : L^2(S^1) \to H^2(S^1)$ is the orthogonal projection as usual. Then

$$f(z) = z^{-n}e^{\tilde{f}_-}e^{\tilde{f}_+} \tag{5.2}$$

Thus we may write $f = f_-f_+$ with $f_+, \bar{f}_- \in H^\infty$ continuous and non-vanishing. By Theorem 5.8, we can write

$$f_+ = f_1 \cdot \prod B_a, \quad f_- = f_2 \cdot \prod B_b$$

where $f_1, \bar{f}_2 \in H^\infty$ are invertible in H^∞, the zeros a satisfy $|a| < 1$, and the zeros b satisfy $|b| > 1$. Letting f_0 be the product of Blaschke factors above, we have the factorization

$$f = f_0 f_1 f_2$$

If f is smooth, then so is $z^{-n}f$, and we can take $\tilde{f}$ to be smooth as well. Consequently $\tilde{f}_+$ and $\tilde{f}_-$ are smooth, for example because the projection P can be expressed in terms of the Hilbert transform, which preserves regularity. Hence the related functions $f_\pm, f_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, are smooth as well. Define g_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$, similarly. As in Proposition 3.10, we see that joint torsion factors as a discrete part (tame symbols) and a continuous part (a determinant):

Theorem 5.13. *If $f, g \in C^\infty(S^1)$ are non-vanishing on S^1, then*

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \prod_{|a|<1} c_a(f_0f_1, g_0g_1) \cdot \frac{\overline{c_a(\bar{g}_0, \bar{f}_2)}}{\overline{c_a(\bar{f}_0, \bar{g}_2)}} \cdot \frac{\tau(T_{f_1}, T_{g_2})}{\tau(T_{g_1}, T_{f_2})}$$

Here f_i, g_i are as above, and

$$\tau(T_{f_1}, T_{g_2}) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int \log f_1 \, d(\log g_2)\right)$$

for continuous choices of logarithms of f_1 and g_2, and similarly for $\tau(T_{g_1}, T_{f_2})$.

Proof. By the multiplicative property of joint torsion, we find that

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \tau(T_{f_0 f_1}, T_{g_0 g_1}) \cdot \tau(T_{f_0 f_1}, T_{g_2}) \cdot \tau(T_{f_2}, T_{g_0 g_1}) \cdot \tau(T_{f_2}, T_{g_2})$$

The first factor is the product of tame symbols by Theorem 5.9. The fourth factor is 1 since T_{f_2} and T_{g_2} are invertible commuting operators. Next we calculate the second factor; the third factor is dealt with similarly. Again using multiplicativity, the second factor is

$$\tau(T_{f_0}, T_{g_2}) \cdot \tau(T_{f_1}, T_{g_2})$$

For the first factor, notice that $\bar{f}_0$ is still a Blaschke product and $\bar{g}_2 \in H^\infty$ is invertible in H^∞. Hence $\tau(T_{f_0}, T_{g_2}) = \overline{\tau(T_{\bar{f}_0}, T_{\bar{g}_2})^{-1}}$ by Lemma 2.8(6), and the latter is $\overline{c_a(\bar{f}_0, \bar{g}_2)^{-1}}$ by Proposition 5.12. In the second factor, both T_{f_1} and T_{g_2} are invertible. Hence their joint torsion is the multiplicative commutator

$$\det\left(T_{f_1} T_{g_2} T_{f_1^{-1}} T_{g_2^{-1}}\right)$$

which is calculated as the claimed integral by the Helton-Howe-Pincus formula 2.6 and the Berger-Shaw formula 5.2. □

5.3. **An integral formula.** Now we apply and refine the results of Section 4 in the case of Toeplitz operators. Let $L^2 = L^2(S^1)$ and $H^2 = H^2(S^1)$. Recall that if $\phi \in L^\infty(S^1)$, the spectrum of the multiplication operator $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2)$ is the essential range of ϕ. If $\phi \in C(S^1)$, the spectrum of the Toeplitz operator $T_\phi \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$ consists of $\phi(S^1)$, together with the connected components of $\mathbf{C} - \phi(S^1)$ about which ϕ has nonzero winding number. See for instance [8, Chapter 7]. As a consequence, we obtain the following:

Theorem 5.14. *Let $\phi \in L^\infty(S^1) \cap W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(S^1)$. If either*

(1) *f is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\phi(S^1)$, or*
(2) *ϕ is real-valued and f is C^∞ on $\phi(S^1)$,*

then $f(T_\phi) - T_{f\circ\phi} \in \mathcal{L}^1(H^2)$.

Proof. Let Γ be an admissible contour for defining $f(T_\phi) \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$, as in (3.2). By the above discussion, we see that Γ can also be used to define $f(\phi) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2)$, that is,

$$f(\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma (\lambda - \phi)^{-1} f(\lambda)\, d\lambda$$

The result then follows by Theorem 4.11 with $p = 1$. □

We conclude with an illustration of the above results by deriving an integral formula for the joint torsion of Toeplitz operators [3, Theorem 7]. An equivalent formula was previously obtained in [13]. See also [12].

Theorem 5.15. *If $f, g \in C^\infty(S^1)$ are non-vanishing functions, then*

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{S^1} \log f \, d(\log g) - \log g(p) \int_{S^1} d(\log f) \right)$$

The integrals are taken counterclockwise starting at any point $p = e^{i\alpha} \in S^1$. If $h(e^{i\theta}) = |h(e^{i\theta})|e^{i\phi(\theta)}$ for a continuous function $\phi : [\alpha, \alpha + 2\pi] \to \mathbf{R}$, then we take $\log h(e^{i\theta}) = \log|h| + i\phi(\theta)$. Any other choice of $\log h$ will differ by a multiple of $2\pi i$ and hence will leave the quantity in the theorem unaffected.

Proof. Let n and m be the winding numbers of f and g, respectively. Define $\tilde{f}$, $\tilde{f}_+$, and $\tilde{f}_-$ as in (5.2), and similarly for g. By Theorem 5.14, $T_{e^{\tilde{f}}} = e^{T_{\tilde{f}}}$ modulo $\mathcal{L}^1$, so we find

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \tau(T_z, T_z)^{mn} \cdot \tau(T_z, T_{e^{\tilde{g}}})^n \cdot \tau(T_{e^{\tilde{f}}}, T_z)^m \cdot \tau(e^{T_{\tilde{f}}}, e^{T_{\tilde{g}}})$$

The first factor is $(-1)^{mn}$ by Proposition 5.4. By applying Proposition 5.3 with $\lambda = 0$ and both $\phi = e^{\tilde{g}_+}$ and $\phi = e^{\tilde{g}_-}$, we find that the second term is $e^{-n\tilde{g}_+(0)}$. Similarly, the third term is $e^{m\tilde{f}_+(0)}$. By Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 5.2, the fourth term is

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int \tilde{f}\, d\tilde{g}\right)$$

Hence

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \exp\left(\pi imn + m\tilde{f}_+(0) - n\tilde{g}_+(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int \tilde{f}\, d\tilde{g}\right) \tag{5.3}$$

Now we calculate the last term in the exponential:

$$\begin{aligned}\int \tilde{f}\, d\tilde{g} &= \int \log(e^{-in\theta} f)\, d(\log(e^{-im\theta} g)\\ &= \int -in\theta\, d\tilde{g} + \int \log f\, d(\log(e^{-im\theta} g))\end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\int -in\theta\, d\tilde{g} = -in\theta\tilde{g}|_\alpha^{\alpha+2\pi} + \int in\tilde{g}\, d\theta$$

The first term is $-2\pi in\tilde{g}(p)$ since $\tilde{g}$ has winding number zero. By writing $\tilde{g}$ in terms of the orthonormal basis elements $e^{ik\theta}$, we see that the second term is $2\pi in\tilde{g}_+(0)$. Next we calculate

$$\int \log f\, d(\log(e^{-im\theta} g)) = \int \tilde{f} \cdot -im\, d\theta + \int in\theta \cdot -im\, d\theta + \int \log f\, d(\log g)$$

As before the first term is $-2\pi im\tilde{f}_+(0)$, and the second term is $2mn\pi^2 + 2\pi mn\alpha$. Combining this with (5.3) gives

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \exp\left(-n\tilde{g}(p) - imn\alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int \log f\, d(\log g)\right)$$

The first term is

$$-n(-im\alpha + \log g(p)) = imn\alpha - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\log g(p)\int d(\log f)$$

Hence

$$\tau(T_f, T_g) = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{S^1} \log f \, d(\log g) - \log g(p) \int_{S^1} d(\log f) \right) \qquad \square$$

References

[1] J. Anderson and L. N. Vaserstein. "Commutators in ideals of trace class operators". *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 354.2 (1986), pp. 345–372.

[2] L. G. Brown. "Operator algebras and algebraic K-theory". *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81 (1975), pp. 1119–1121.

[3] Richard Carey and Joel Pincus. "Joint torsion of Toeplitz operators with H^∞ symbols". *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 33 (1999), pp. 273–304.

[4] Richard Carey and Joel Pincus. "Perturbation Vectors". *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 35 (1999), pp. 271–365.

[5] Richard Carey and Joel Pincus. "Reciprocity for Fredholm operators". *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 9 (1986), pp. 469–501.

[6] Peter Colwell. *Blaschke products. Bounded analytic functions*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI, 1985.

[7] Alain Connes. "Non-commutative differential geometry". *IHES Publ. Math.* 62 (1985), pp. 257–360.

[8] Ronald G. Douglas. *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[9] T. Ehrhardt. "A generalization of Pincus' formula and Toeplitz operator determinants". *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 80.3 (2003), pp. 302–309.

[10] Jörg Eschmeier. "Quasicomplexes and Lefschetz numbers". *Acta. Math. Sci. (Szeged)* 79.3-4 (2013), pp. 611–621.

[11] Jörg Eschmeier and Mihai Putinar. *Spectral decompositions and analytic sheaves*. Vol. 10. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 1996.

[12] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg. "Deligne-Beĭlinson cohomology". *Beĭlinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*. Vol. 4. Perspect. Math. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 43–91.

[13] J. W. Helton and R. Howe. "Integral operators: commutators, traces, index and homology". *Proc. Conf. on Operator Theory*. Vol. 345. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1973, pp. 141–209.

[14] Jens Kaad. "Joint torsion of several commuting operators". *Adv. Math.* 229 (2012), pp. 442–486.

[15] Jens Kaad and Ryszard Nest. "A transformation rule for the index of commuting operators". *arXiv:1208.1862* (2012), pp. 1–28.

[16] Jens Kaad and Ryszard Nest. "Canonical holomorphic sections of determinant line bundles". *arXiv:1403.7937* (2014), pp. 1–45.

[17] Jens Kaad and Ryszard Nest. "Tate tame symbol and the joint torsion of commuting operators". *arXiv:1408.3851* (2014), pp. 1–26.
[18] Joseph Migler. "Joint torsion equals the determinant invariant". *arXiv:1403.4882* (2014), pp. 1–26.
[19] Efton Park. "The tame symbol and determinants of Toeplitz operators". *arXiv:0905.4510* (2009), pp. 1–10.
[20] Jonathan Rosenberg. *Algebraic K-theory and its applications*. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
[21] John Tate. "Symbols in arithmetic". *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 1*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971, pp. 201–211.

Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0395
E-mail address: joseph.migler@colorado.edu